




Nullification: The Real Solution to Gun Control

Rather than trying to “fix” the Constitution, state legislators should follow it by refusing to enforce (i.e., nullifying) all federal gun control.

The Tenth Amendment clearly states that the federal government cannot do anything unless the Constitution specifically says so. Therefore, any attempt to disarm the people, short of a constitutional amendment, is unconstitutional and is null, void, and of no legal effect.

Nullification is a duty, not an option. Under Article VI, state officials swear an oath to support the Constitution — and that includes rejecting all attempts to violate the Second Amendment.

Multiple states have already enacted measures nullifying federal gun control. These include Missouri’s Second Amendment Preservation Act (2021), which nullifies the 1934 National Firearms Act and the 1968 Gun Control Act. Many county sheriffs have also nullified federal gun-control laws.

Next, state and local officials must be courageous and prepared for harsh pushback from the federal government, judiciary, media, and others. However, an educated electorate will support nullification efforts.

For more information about Article V and the amendment process, visit JBS.org/ConCon or scan the following QR Code



Get involved in the battle to save the Constitution — including the Second Amendment — by joining The John Birch Society.



STOP
ACON-CON
Constitutional Convention



Follow us on social media

The John Birch Society | JBS.org

or call 800-527-8721

SAVE
THE SECOND
AMENDMENT
STOP AN ARTICLE V
CONSTITUTIONAL CONVENTION




The U.S. Constitution is the supreme law of the land. However, there is an effort to call an Article V constitutional convention (Con-Con) to radically change it.

The Second Amendment won't be spared.

Here are key reasons a Con-Con threatens the Constitution and the freedoms it protects:

- The last time a convention with constitutional amendatory powers occurred (1787), delegates ignored the pre-established rules and created a new constitution and form of government.
- Under Article V, Congress controls how proposed amendments are ratified. The states and the people would be powerless to intervene.
- Once chosen, the delegates may change the rules agreed upon by states for running the convention. Imagine potential delegates, such as a Gavin Newsom from California and a Chuck Schumer from New York, rewriting the Constitution.
- The lack of constitutionalist leadership in state governments opens the door for convention delegates to do irreversible damage to the Constitution..

For additional reasons,
scan the QR code:



The Left Wants a Con-Con Too

Left-wing activists are calling for an Article V convention to obliterate the Second Amendment:

- In 2023, the California Legislature passed S.J.R. 7, which applies for "a constitutional convention under Article V" of the U.S. Constitution to propose a constitutional amendment enacting extreme gun controls, including universal background checks, waiting periods, and an "assault weapons" ban.
- In 2012, left-wing legislators in Hawaii introduced H.C.R. 114, an Article V Con-Con resolution calling for the "repeal or modification of the Second Amendment to strengthen firearms restrictions," among other radical proposals.
- In 2021, three left-wing attorneys drafted a "Progressive Constitution" that gutted the Second Amendment, stating the right to keep and bear arms should be "subject to reasonable regulation."
- Other leftists, including California Governor Gavin Newsom, law school Professor Mary Penrose, and the late Supreme Court Associate Justice John Paul Stevens, have called for repealing or heavily modifying the Second Amendment — including via an Article V Con-Con.

Do you want these leftists to participate in rewriting the U.S. Constitution?

Conservative Constitution — for Gun Control?
In 2021, four "conservative" attorneys authored a "Conservative Constitution" for the National Constitution Center's Constitution Drafting Project as a replacement for our present document.

This constitution called for significantly gutting the Second Amendment:

Neither the States nor the United States shall make or enforce any law infringing the right to keep and bear arms *of the sort ordinarily used for self-defense or recreational purposes*, provided that States, and the United States in places subject to its general regulatory authority, *may enact and enforce reasonable regulations on the bearing of arms, and the keeping of arms by persons determined, with due process, to be dangerous to themselves or others.* [Emphasis added.]

One of the drafters was Robert P. George, a member of the legal advisory board of Convention of States (COS), one of the main "conservative" organizations advocating for an Article V convention.

Should you trust "conservative" like these?

