## **State of South Dakota**

## SEVENTY-SEVENTH SESSION LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY, 2002

391H0028

## HOUSE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE ENGROSSED NO. $HB\ 1220$ - 02/04/2002

Introduced by: Representatives Murschel, Bartling, Brown (Jarvis), Davis, Derby, Hennies (Thomas), Jensen, Juhnke, Kooistra, Madsen, and Slaughter and Senators Everist and Daugaard

- 1 FOR AN ACT ENTITLED, An Act to allow certain third parties to intervene in a custody
- 2 dispute of a child.
- 3 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA:
- 4 Section 1. In disputes regarding custody of a child, the court may allow an interested third
- 5 party to intervene upon motion. Before allowing intervention, the court may appoint counsel for
- 6 the child. The court may award full or partial custody, care, education, and visitation rights of
- 7 the child to any interested third party upon such conditions and limitations as the court deems
- 8 equitable.
- 9 Section 2. In making any order pursuant to section 1 of this Act, the court shall be guided
- by the best interests of the child, giving consideration to the wishes of the child if the child is of
- sufficient age and capable of forming an intelligent preference.
- Section 3. In disputes regarding custody of a child between a parent and an interested third
- party, the presumption in favor of the parent may be rebutted by showing extraordinary
- circumstances. For the purposes of this section, the term, extraordinary circumstances, means

- 2 - HB 1220

1 circumstances that result in serious detriment to the child, including any one or more of the 2 following: 3 (1) The abandonment or persistent neglect of the child by the parent; 4 (2) The likelihood of serious physical or emotional harm to the child if placed in the 5 parent's custody; 6 (3) The extended, unjustifiable absence of parental custody; 7 (4) The abdication of parental responsibilities; 8 (5) The provision of the child's physical, emotional, and other needs by persons other than 9 the parent over a significant period of time; 10 (6) The existence of a bonded relationship between the child and the nonparent custodian 11 sufficient to cause significant emotional harm to the child in the event of a change in 12 custody; 13 (7) The substantial enhancement of the child's well-being while under the care of the 14 nonparent; 15 (8) The extent of the parent's delay in seeking to reacquire custody of the child; 16 (9) The demonstrated quality of the parent's commitment to raising the child; 17 10) The likely degree of stability and security in the child's future with the parent; 18 (11)The extent to which the child's right to an education would be impaired while in the 19 custody of the parent; or 20 (12)Any other circumstances that would substantially and adversely impact the welfare of 21 the child.