## **State of South Dakota**

## EIGHTY-THIRD SESSION LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY, 2008

862P0291

## HOUSE BILL NO. 1101

Introduced by: Representatives Vehle, Deadrick, Dennert, and Elliott and Senator Hansen (Tom) at the request of the Interim Committee on Education for Divorcing Parents, Visitation, and Custody Task Force

- 1 FOR AN ACT ENTITLED, An Act to provide for an order of joint physical care over certain
- 2 children whose parents exercise joint legal custody and to create a rebuttable presumption
- against joint legal custody and joint physical custody under certain circumstances.
- 4 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA:
- 5 Section 1. That § 25-5-7.1 be amended to read as follows:
- 6 25-5-7.1. In any custody dispute between parents, the court may order joint legal custody
- 7 so that both parents retain full parental rights and responsibilities with respect to their child and
- 8 so that both parents must confer on major decisions affecting the welfare of the child. In
- 9 ordering joint legal custody, the court may consider the expressed desires of the parents and may
- grant to one party the ultimate responsibility over specific aspects of the child's welfare or may
- divide those aspects between the parties based on the best interest of the child. If it appears to
- the court to be in the best interest of the child, the court may order, or the parties may agree,
- how any such responsibility shall be divided. Such areas of responsibility may include primary
- physical residence, education, medical and dental care, and any other responsibilities which the

- 2 - HB 1101

- 1 court finds unique to a particular family or in the best interest of the child.
- 2 <u>If joint legal custody is awarded to both parents, the court may also award joint physical</u>
- 3 <u>custody to the parties utilizing a court-ordered or court-approved shared parenting plan that</u>
- 4 provides for the division of parental duties and responsibilities and the time spent with the
- 5 children, on terms the court determines to be consistent with the best interest of the children.
- 6 Such an arrangement may be ordered over the objection of one parent.
- 7 Section 2. That chapter 25-7 be amended by adding thereto a NEW SECTION to read as
- 8 follows:
- 9 In awarding joint legal custody or joint physical custody involving a child, the court shall
- 10 consider:
- 11 (1) A conviction of domestic abuse as defined in subdivision 25-10-1(1); or
- 12 (2) A conviction of assault against a person as defined in subdivision 25-10-1(2), except
- against any person related by consanguinity, but not living in the same household; or
- 14 (3) A proven history of domestic abuse.
- 15 The conviction or proven history of domestic abuse creates a rebuttable presumption that
- awarding custody to the abusive parent is not in the best interest of the minor. A history of
- domestic abuse may only be proven by greater convincing force of the evidence.