


Board of Medical & Osteopathic Examiners

PROPOSED STRUCTURE



Freedom and Flexibility

In no way does this structure expand government. With this proposed structure, it will allow each advisory council the freedom and flexibility to self-regulate in a more appropriate way.

PROPOSALS

- Elevate advisory committees to councils with more power to self regulate
- Increase the communication and teamwork of advisory councils and board
- Expand Board to include one member of each allied health professions

As proposed, the future structure of the seven professions listed here will have the freedom and flexibility to self-regulate.

What this means is that each profession is elevated to have active involvement in statutory scope of practice determination, advise the board on disciplinary actions, and provide licensure at the level of their own committee. Allied profession board members will not act on matters related to physicians.

Board of Medical & Osteopathic Examiners

CURRENT STRUCTURE




Advisory Committees

Currently, the seven advisory committees listed below lack freedom in self-discipline and self-determination.

They are housed under a specific practice where another profession decides their future in terms of:

- Interpretation of Scope of Practice
- Licensure
- Disciplinary action
- Education



DID YOU KNOW?

Disciplinary action for occupational therapists, paramedics, athletic trainers, dietitians/nutritionists, genetic counselors, respiratory care practitioners, and physician assistants are all determined by medical physicians. As opposed to being licensed by and having disciplinary actions determined by their own profession.

DOH convened
46 members
representing licensees,
advocacy groups and
academia to address
the most pressing
need - Allied Health
Professionals want/
need a voice in their
own profession

SATISFACTION SURVEY

A satisfaction survey was sent to all licensees,
excluding physicians in January 2023

25% of licensees completed survey

47% of respondents indicated the board only
somewhat or not at all met their needs

41% of respondents wanted a new board but
no fee increases to support it

AREAS IN MOST NEED OF IMPROVEMENT

1. Customer Service/
Communication from Board staff
2. Licensing processing times
including required application
materials
3. Increased activity from advisory
committees