1 SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE ON DISCIPLINE AND EXPULSION 1 2 3 COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT: 4 SENATOR DAVID WHEELER, CHAIR 5 SENATOR JIM BOLIN SENATOR SYDNEY DAVIS 6 SENATOR HELENE DUHAMEL SENATOR BRENT HOFFMAN SENATOR LIZ LARSON SENATOR DEAN WINK 8 SENATOR ERIN TOBIN SENATOR REYNOLD NESIBA 9 ______ 10 TUESDAY, JANUARY 31, 2023, 5:10 p.m. 11 500 EAST CAPITOL AVENUE PIERRE, SOUTH DAKOTA 57501 12 13 14 APPEARANCES: 15 As Counsel for Employee: JACK H. HIEB Richardson, Wyly, Wise, 16 Sauck & Hieb PO Box 1030 17 Aberdeen, SD 57401 As Counsel for Senator 18 STEVEN G. HAUGAARD Frye-Mueller: 19 Haugaard Law Office 1601 East 69th Street 20 Sioux Falls, SD 57108 -and-STEPHANIE TRASK 21 Bad River Law 22 PO Box 786 Philip, SD 57567 23 24 Reported by Carla A. Bachand, RMR, CRR, Capital Reporting 25 Services, P.O. Box 903, Pierre, SD 57501 (605) 224-7611.

TUESDAY, JANUARY 31, 2023

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN WHEELER: I will call this select committee back into session. We do have a court reporter present taking testimony today; so I would ask everybody to be sure to speak slowly and clearly for her benefit. And then for purposes of the record, I would ask Senator Frye-Mueller's counsel to identify themselves.

MR. HAUGAARD: Steve Haugaard appearing on behalf of 9 Senator Julie Frye-Mueller.

MS. TRASK: Stephanie Trask also appearing on behalf of the Senator.

CHAIRMAN WHEELER: The record can reflect that Senator Frye-Mueller is also present along with all members of the committee. Then I would ask counsel for the employee to introduce himself.

MR. HIEB: Jack Hieb appearing on behalf of (employee).

Thank you. So we are convening CHAIRMAN WHEELER: here for the purpose today of receiving testimony from (employee) regarding the incidents alleged in the complaint. So I will first open it up to (employee), if she wishes to make an opening statement or any direct testimony to the committee, you can do so at this time.

> COURT REPORTER: Do you want me to swear her in? CHAIRMAN WHEELER: Yes, I appreciate that. We will do

1 | an oath for each individual; so as the Chair of the committee,

2 | I will be administering those oaths. So (employee) I would ask

3 | you to raise your right hand.

4 Thereupon,

5

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

EMPLOYEE,

called as a witness, being first duly sworn as hereinafter certified, testified as follows:

EMPLOYEE: I swear that all the testimony I give is correct.

CHAIRMAN WHEELER: Thank you. You may proceed.

EMPLOYEE: Thank you, Mr. Chair, committee. First and foremost, the statement that I gave you and my supervisor in the LRC, I still stand by that. The big thing I want to just say is I love my job, I love my job, and I very much hope this doesn't affect it, and I love my family. That's my statement.

CHAIRMAN WHEELER: Thank you. At any point if you need a break, please let us know.

MR. HIEB: With your permission, Mr. Chair, we had talked about this before, and I think the statement that she provided in writing to her supervisor has been pretty well publicized by now, quite a bit of it without her name on it.

As long as that's part of the record, I think her intent was to simply suggest that I have put it in writing and she's making herself available now to answer any questions that anyone may have. Thank you.

1 CHAIRMAN WHEELER: Thank you. The redacted version of 2 that statement has been received already into the record and is 3 part of the committee documents. I will start it off with the first question, and (employee), I would like to have you verify 4 5 for us, did you personally write out this statement? 6 EMPLOYEE: Yes. CHAIRMAN WHEELER: About how long a period of time did 7 8 it take you to go through and do that? 9 EMPLOYEE: After the incident? 10 How much time did you spend in CHAIRMAN WHEELER: No. 11 preparation of this document? 12 EMPLOYEE: Mr. Chair, I spent a few hours putting it 13 together. I am sorry to say I didn't time it. 14 CHAIRMAN WHEELER: That's completely understandable. 15 Just trying to get a feel for what time you did put into that. 16 I will open it up to questions from the committee. Senator 17 Nesiba. 18 SENATOR NESIBA: Questions to go through the Chair? 19 CHAIRMAN WHEELER: Thank you. We will treat it just 20 like any other legislative committee. Questions will go 21 through the Chair before being posed. 22 SENATOR NESIBA: I am going to follow up on your 23 question. When did you write the statement? Was it right 24 immediately after the incident or did you write it the next

day? Just trying to figure out the time frame.

25

1 EMPLOYEE: Mr. Chair. The next day.

2 SENATOR NESIBA: Thank you.

1.3

CHAIRMAN WHEELER: Senator Duhamel.

SENATOR DUHAMEL: My question is how did it make you feel? Was this a girlfriend to girlfriend? Was it girlie talk, as it's been described? How did this make you feel?

EMPLOYEE: Mr. Chair. I felt violated. The conversation had, as I outlined in my statement, began as a conversation over a bill draft. It was not personal. She had asked -- excuse me, Senator Frye-Mueller had asked to meet with me. I did not seek her out. So the conversation had started professionally, and I had every expectation that it would remain professional.

CHAIRMAN WHEELER: Senator Bolin.

SENATOR BOLIN: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Can you relate how this -- the incident or your version of the incident was communicated to the leadership of the Senate? I assume -- it got there much long before I was aware of it. Can you communicate that to us, just so we get a little more of a time line?

EMPLOYEE: Mr. Chair. Unfortunately, I am not clear myself as to what happened after -- so let me begin. I gave my statement to Sue Cichos, the deputy director at the LRC, who then told me she would speak with Reed, the director of the LRC. From then on, I was not party to any other conversation,

1 nor was I aware of what was happening internally with Senate
2 leadership.

SENATOR BOLIN: If I can just go a little bit further, this incident took place somewhere between like 3:30 and 4:00 o'clock on that particular day. That's accurate, right?

EMPLOYEE: Correct.

SENATOR BOLIN: And then did you communicate this to anyone else during the remainder of that day?

EMPLOYEE: I did not.

MR. HIEB: Mr. Chair, can I?

CHAIRMAN WHEELER: Go ahead.

MR. HIEB: Anybody else at her work place or anybody
at all?

SENATOR BOLIN: Anyone at all.

MR. HIEB: Give them a narrative about what you did.

EMPLOYEE: So after the conversation had ended and Senator Frye-Mueller and her husband had left my office, I proceeded to shut my door, and it was upsetting, but I had some other things to think about. It was the end of the day, I was a -- I was quite frankly in shock. My husband's in-laws were in town and certainly -- there was just some other things at play. I wanted to go be with my family, and so with all those things considered, I went home. The first people I actually told that this happened was my husband and my mother-in-law, and that's where I first conveyed these things and really

1 realized how violated I felt and how disgusted I felt with 2 myself that my body parts would be talked about. Thank you. 3 SENATOR BOLIN: Thank you. Senator Hoffman. 4 CHAIRMAN WHEELER: 5 SENATOR HOFFMAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 6 (Employee), I first just want to make a few brief comments, 7 that this is uncomfortable for us, and I can't imagine and 8 genuinely empathize with how uncomfortable it must be for you. 9 I just want to acknowledge that before I get into a few brief 10 questions, but I appreciate your courage in just coming forward 11 and sharing your story honestly and objectively. 12 EMPLOYEE: Thank you. 13 SENATOR HOFFMAN: I have heard it described, I think I 14 read this in the media, as a woman/woman conversation in which 15 someone was providing advice, as if it had been requested, but 16 I would like to know whether this was unsolicited advice or if 17 you had asked some questions along the way asking for advice. 18 This was unsolicited. Nowhere did I ask to EMPLOYEE: 19 be talked to like this. No. 20 SENATOR HOFFMAN: Thank you, ma'am. 21 CHAIRMAN WHEELER: Senator Duhamel. 22 SENATOR DUHAMEL: My question is the husband's

SENATOR DUHAMEL: My question is the husband's involvement. We have been told that the husband left during the, quote, girlie talk. I'm just wondering what was the Senator's husband's involvement in this? Was he there during

23

24

25

the uncomfortable parts?

EMPLOYEE: He was there standing in front of my doorway, standing in front of my closed door, both her and her husband were standing there. He was nodding in agreement and looking at me through the exchange in a -- his smiling made me severely uncomfortable.

SENATOR DUHAMEL: Okay.

CHAIRMAN WHEELER: Senator.

SENATOR DAVIS: I was wondering if you could describe your relationship with Senator Frye-Mueller prior to this incident.

EMPLOYEE: First and foremost, if I could first explain a little bit about my job. I'm a legislative staffer. That means not only do I have my current supervisors in the LRC as my bosses, but I have 105 bosses, and that means I deal with a lot of different ideas and a lot of different things that I'm working on at any one given time. And part of how I give a good service, I believe, how I serve all of you is being friendly, it's being courteous, it's listening to you when you come with bill ideas and so forth.

And I recognize the passion and I truly enjoy helping you all, but it's not me being your friend, it's me just being your staffer doing a job. I don't see any of you outside of this job. I did not see Frye-Mueller outside of this job. I did not hang out, hang out or go out to eat with her or

anything like that. There is no text messages that would prove that. There is no emails. I have never even seen her in public outside of this building. So I don't -- I don't know why my friendliness in my job is being construed that I'm her friend.

SENATOR DAVIS: Thank you.

1.3

SENATOR TOBIN: Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN WHEELER: Senator Tobin.

SENATOR TOBIN: Throughout your time working with Senator Frye-Mueller, have you ever been made to feel uncomfortable before this day that you have in your testimony?

EMPLOYEE: Yes. Would you like me to expand?

SENATOR TOBIN: Mr. Chair. Yes, please.

EMPLOYEE: Senator Frye-Mueller I do believe has crossed boundaries sometimes with me, I suppose in this because she's believed we were friends, but again, I go back to me trying to do my job and be supportive and get the job done. And at the end of the day, I did allow things -- I did allow her to say things that made me uncomfortable. Unfortunately, this time, this time involved my son. I could not let that slide.

MR. HAUGAARD: Just for clarification, do we have a time frame associated with that? There is a reference now to son. I don't understand that.

CHAIRMAN WHEELER: I think what I would prefer to do

is if you could keep that on your list of questions. I think once the committee is finished with its questioning, I will open it up for counsel to ask questions.

MR. HIEB: Can we take just a really short break, with your permission?

CHAIRMAN WHEELER: Absolutely.

1.3

MR. HIEB: I want her to gather herself.

CHAIRMAN WHEELER: Let's take a five-minute recess.

(Whereupon, the committee hearing was in recess at 5:25 p.m., and subsequently reconvened at 5:29 p.m., and the following proceedings were had and entered of record:)

MR. HIEB: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN WHEELER: You are very welcome. We will call the committee back into session. Still on committee questions. Senator Tobin.

SENATOR TOBIN: Mr. Chair. As far as feeling uncomfortable previously, can you elaborate on what types of specifics made you uncomfortable previously with Senator Frye-Mueller?

EMPLOYEE: Mr. Chair. It would be things that didn't have anything to do with bill drafts, nothing to do with research. It would just be comments, you know, there was -- I got married in (year) in Minnesota, and there was a comment that she couldn't believe I got married in Minnesota, that a specific party controls that state, and she went into some

details about that and it crossed the lines of -- again, it crossed the lines of any professionalism. It went into my personal life, into my family. Those were things like that that made me uncomfortable.

CHAIRMAN WHEELER: Senator Wink.

1.3

SENATOR WINK: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. (Employee),

I'm reading your statement. Evidently when the Senator came

in, you started talking about bills and everything was fine,

but then when the conversation moved over to vaccinations, the

temperature of the room went up, you started feeling

uncomfortable. If I understand this right, you went from

uncomfortable to you described it to upset to emotionally

violated. What I want to know is did you ever at any time feel

in physical danger with this situation?

EMPLOYEE: Mr. Chair. I didn't feel that I was in immediate physical danger, but I was concerned that things could escalate, if Senator Frye-Mueller got more upset.

SENATOR WINK: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN WHEELER: Senator Larson.

SENATOR LARSON: I want to get a sense. You mentioned that there were other times in the course of your job that you had felt uncomfortable, and I'm trying to get a sense of was this a common occurrence culturally within LRC that staff had to deal with or was it more of like in the sense that was this the straw that broke the camel's back or was it more of a

specific one time or a couple time incident, if there was sort of something? I don't know if you have any thoughts about that.

EMPLOYEE: Mr. Chair. In this job, we deal with high tension situations, and people, legislators, staff, we say things, I think we all know that, but, ummm, this conversation went as far as to say that my son would die, my child, that something physically might happen to him based on my parenting decisions. It talked about one of my body parts and sexual acts I would do with my husband, and I don't believe that's — I don't think that's — that's not falling into the category of maybe getting a little heated if your bill didn't go — your hearing didn't go the right way. That's beyond what should be happening in this building. I truly believe that crossed a very clear boundary.

SENATOR BOLIN: Thank you, Mr. Chair. At any time during any of these -- during the one incident and others, did you ever indicate to the Senator, can you please stop talking about this?

EMPLOYEE: Mr. Chair. Quite frankly, I don't feel like I can say that I am -- that -- I don't feel like I have the ability to say that because of my role as a staffer and that you all have that relationship of being my -- I view you all as my bosses, and I don't believe that if I were to upset you in that way, that you may decide not to work with me or

something to that effect. So no, I did not.

SENATOR BOLIN: Thank you.

3 SENATOR TOBIN: Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN WHEELER: Senator Tobin.

SENATOR TOBIN: With everything that has happened in the last week, have you ever been fearful that you would have to leave your job or that you would lose your job?

EMPLOYEE: Mr. Chair. I was fearful, and I still am, that I will be treated differently, that certain legislators may not want to work with me, and I don't want to leave this job, but I do have that fear.

CHAIRMAN WHEELER: Senator Duhamel.

SENATOR DUHAMEL: Have you paid attention to how the Senator is portraying the incident, and how do you respond to how it's being portrayed versus how you say it happened?

me to -- I haven't been able to listen to much. Some of the comments I've seen, they are very mean. And so I have had to take a break and not look on social media or look on some of these news blogger sites, just for my mental health. I know I need to take care of myself too in this situation.

CHAIRMAN WHEELER: Senator Larson.

SENATOR LARSON: This is kind of a follow-on question to that, but had you, in your mind, any expectation of the amount of attention that this has gotten in the media? How has

1 | been your -- obviously mentally it's been extremely difficult.

2 | Was it worse than you thought? How did you handle that?

3 EMPLOYEE: Mr. Chair. It's been extremely difficult,

4 | for the reason I am legislative staff and I enjoy the

5 | background. I do not want the lime light. I leave that to all

6 of you, and you all do it very well. I don't want to be in the

7 | news. That was not my intention. I just would like to take a

8 | step back and just say that when I gave my statement to my

9 | supervisor in the LRC, my intention was this was not to get in

10 | the news. My intention was just to make my supervisors aware

11 | that I was uncomfortable, that I thought this matter needed

12 | their attention, but in no way, no, I did not want this in the

13 news, no.

14

CHAIRMAN WHEELER: Senator Bolin.

15 SENATOR BOLIN: Just for the record, (employee), how

long have you worked for the LRC? When were you first hired?

17 EMPLOYEE: Mr. Chair. I was hired in (year); so this

18 | is my (ordinal) session here.

19 SENATOR BOLIN: And then just to follow up, in the

20 (number) years you have been working in the LRC, have you had

21 any incident with any other Senator, either current or present,

22 that made you uncomfortable, any other incident with any other

23 | individual?

24 | EMPLOYEE: No. I have not made a statement like this

25 | ever accusing a legislator of harassment, no, no.

SENATOR BOLIN: Thank you.

1.3

CHAIRMAN WHEELER: Further questions. Then at this time I will invite counsel for Senator Frye-Mueller to pose questions. Again, we will run those through the Chair.

MR. HAUGAARD: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I just want to clarify, going back to that one point where you made some comment about this prior times of discomfort where your son was involved or was this part of it? Tell me about the time frame and what you are talking about in regard to your son.

EMPLOYEE: Mr. Chair. This event that I wrote my statement on was dealing with my son. He was just born in (month). No other incidents with Senator Frye-Mueller had to do with my son.

MR. HAUGAARD: Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN WHEELER: Go ahead.

MR. HAUGAARD: So during this incident, you didn't say anything to Senator Frye-Mueller or her husband about your discomfort with the conversation; is that correct?

EMPLOYEE: No, I did not.

MR. HAUGAARD: Mr. Chair. Prior situations, you never expressed to Senator Frye-Mueller that you were uncomfortable with the conversation?

CHAIRMAN WHEELER: What I will do is I will let you answer the question. Just be careful we are not repeating. I believe she's answered that previously to other questions, but

1	I'll let you go ahead and answer it again this time.
2	EMPLOYEE: No.
3	MR. HAUGAARD: Mr. Chair, another question. You made
4	a reference to comments that were mean. Who were these
5	comments making these comments? Are you talking about
6	something Senator Frye-Mueller said since this came out?
7	EMPLOYEE: Mr. Chair. No, I am talking about
8	again, this is just my personal feelings. Some of the comments
9	I saw on a blog post I thought were mean, but of course that
10	was just my personal opinion.
11	MR. HAUGAARD: Mr. Chair. Do you have a copy of your
12	employment record with you today?
13	EMPLOYEE: Mr. Chair.
14	CHAIRMAN WHEELER: Go ahead.
15	EMPLOYEE: No, I do not.
16	MR. HAUGAARD: Just to follow up to that. Would you
17	make that available to us?
18	CHAIRMAN WHEELER: I'm going to decline to pose that
19	question to the witness.
20	MR. HAUGAARD: Additional question.
21	CHAIRMAN WHEELER: Go ahead.
22	MR. HAUGAARD: You indicate that you have never
23	accused a legislator of harassment. Have you ever accused
24	anyone else of harassment?
25	SENATOR WHEELER: I am going to also decline to pose

1 that question to the witness. We are going to keep it to 2 conduct related to her job here as an LRC staffer. I believe 3 she's answered that question regarding harassment of people here. If you want to rephrase it in that sense, I would allow 4 5 that, but I'm not going to open this up to questions regarding 6 any other person at any other time. 7 MR. HAUGAARD: Mr. Chair, it's relevant to 8 credibility. 9 CHAIRMAN WHEELER: I don't believe her having made a 10 prior harassment allegation against somebody is relevant to her 11 credibility, unless you have proof that she's previously 12 testified falsely in that case. 1.3 MR. HAUGAARD: Mr. Chair. My question to her is 14 simply whether there's been any other allegations of harassment 15 against anyone in the work place. 16 CHAIRMAN WHEELER: If you keep it to questions 17 regarding her employment here in LRC, I will allow that 18 question. 19 EMPLOYEE: Mr. Chair. 20 CHAIRMAN WHEELER: Go ahead.

21 EMPLOYEE: No.

MR. HAUGAARD: Additional question. Where did you

23 previously work?

24 EMPLOYEE: Mr. Chair.

25 CHAIRMAN WHEELER: Go ahead.

1 When I started with the LRC in (year), that EMPLOYEE: 2 was my first job beyond working for (place of employment). 3 MR. HAUGAARD: Additional question. CHAIRMAN WHEELER: Go ahead. 4 5 MR. HAUGAARD: As you were preparing your statement, 6 did you have conversation with anyone else in regard to what 7 should be included in the statement? 8 EMPLOYEE: Mr. Chair. 9 CHAIRMAN WHEELER: Go ahead. 10 EMPLOYEE: No. 11 MS. TRASK: Mr. Chair. 12 CHAIRMAN WHEELER: Go ahead. 1.3 MS. TRASK: Just a few additional questions. First of 14 all, a clarification. Is this session here considered the 15 Senate's investigation into this matter? 16 CHAIRMAN WHEELER: I'll consider that a question to 17 the Chair. Yes, this is part of the investigation. 18 not the entirety of the investigation. 19 Additional question. Could you describe MS. TRASK: 20 the entirety of the investigation just so the defense is clear 21 as to where we are in the investigation? 22 CHAIRMAN WHEELER: Sure. So the committee is 23 receiving testimony today. We are receiving testimony right 24 now from the employee. After we are done with this, I am going

to go back into open session. We will then invite testimony

25

from Senator Frye-Mueller. If you have a witness that you want to present, that would be the time to do that. But we would intend that this will be done, the testimonial portion, will be today, and I believe, unless the committee has other requests, that that will be the extent of the investigation.

MS. TRASK: Thank you for that clarification.

6 MS. TRASK: Thank you for that clarification.
7 Additional question.

CHAIRMAN WHEELER: Go ahead.

MS. TRASK: This one for the witness. (Employee), were you asked how you wanted this conversation with Senator Frye-Mueller handled?

12 EMPLOYEE: Mr. Chair.

13 CHAIRMAN WHEELER: Go ahead.

14 EMPLOYEE: No.

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. TRASK: And do you know who made the decision to make the conversation between you and Senator Frye-Mueller a public spectacle?

CHAIRMAN WHEELER: I'm going to decline to pose that question. She's already said that she had given the statement. If you are referring to the written statement, she gave that to a supervisor and was not part of the decision after that point on when to release that. If you have a follow-up on that point, I'll allow it.

MS. TRASK: One additional. Do you appreciate the Senate's handling of this matter to date?

1	CHAIRMAN WHEELER: Go ahead.
2	EMPLOYEE: Mr. Chair.
3	CHAIRMAN WHEELER: I will give you the choice to
4	answer that question. You are not required to.
5	EMPLOYEE: Thank you, Mr. Chair, but I would decline.
6	MS. TRASK: No further. (Brief pause)
7	MR. HAUGAARD: Another question, Mr. Chair.
8	CHAIRMAN WHEELER: Go ahead.
9	MR. HAUGAARD: I'm wondering, (employee), if there was
10	any discussion or offer or opportunity for you to have a
11	conversation with staff or with others present to address this
12	with Senator Frye-Mueller.
13	EMPLOYEE: Mr. Chair.
14	CHAIRMAN WHEELER: Go ahead.
15	EMPLOYEE: No.
16	MR. HAUGAARD: Just to clarify, did anyone in your
17	office offer or suggest maybe there's an opportunity to clear
18	this up?
19	EMPLOYEE: Mr. Chair.
20	CHAIRMAN WHEELER: Go ahead.
21	EMPLOYEE: No.
22	MR. HAUGAARD: Mr. Chair, in light of the fact that we
23	have no access to employee records, we have not had an
24	opportunity for any discovery, we have essentially been denied
25	information until yesterday, when it was available four days

before that, we have no further questions at this time. But I would reserve the right to have additional questions asked as this investigation proceeds.

1.3

CHAIRMAN WHEELER: Any further recall of a witness would be within the committee's discretion, but it is I believe the intent of the committee to take all testimony today, and so there would not be any further opportunities for testimony beyond today.

MR. HAUGAARD: Mr. Chair, I'm beginning to understand that this is a situation where we have been denied access to information, we are now being denied access to employee records to identify whether the testimony is correct or not. We are denied details about this to adequately investigate it ourselves and put up any kind of a defense to the allegations.

And I just want it to be clear that I have made the request, but no one has responded to my request for information, and now you are telling me that we have no right to review anything, other than to sit here with a piece of paper, no previous information about past actions, and that's all we are going to get. Is that correct, Mr. Chair?

CHAIRMAN WHEELER: The committee is conducting an investigation. This is not a civil action in which there are motions for discovery or such. The committee is in charge of the nature of the investigation. If the committee wants to review files or records, it has that ability. The documents

that counsel had sent to the committee yesterday were captioned as in a case, as in a federal case, and so frankly thought it was more in relation to the federal filing that had been made that same day and were not understood to be requests as part of this investigation.

MR. HAUGAARD: Mr. Chair, if I might respond to that. There was no federal heading on that. You are an attorney, you can see the caption. I simply indicated, because there is no other option, I indicated in the Senate of the State of South Dakota, and this is -- you are essentially putting my client on trial as though it's a criminal event, and you are denying us access to all this information, and you are giving us a couple hours of opportunity to try to put up some sort of a defense. That is not a just process, and we are simply asking for information.

From my perspective, this ought to be a reinstatement until there is an adequate investigation done and an opportunity for us to actually put up a defense. And I'm simply making that point, and I sent those documents to you. Those were not filed in federal court. Those were simply provided to you with a clear heading that says in the Senate, State of South Dakota, no other opportunity to frame it, put a heading on it. It seemed like it should be clear enough, at least to the attorneys involved, that they would understand this is a request to the Senate, because you are sitting here

as the judge and jury of this event.

1.3

CHAIRMAN WHEELER: I would just let you know that you did caption it in the Senate, but then with a caption that was identical in terms of the parties to the federal action, and they were posed as resolutions with whereas clauses and a resolve at the end, as if they were meant to be resolutions that would be moved in the Senate in some way. And no Senator brought a request to make those motions or pose those requests to the body. I have not yet heard anybody do so, and so no action has been taken on any of those requests.

As to access to the employee record, again, that is in the committee's discretion. Again, this is not an action in which discovery rules would apply or that we would allow a fishing expedition into her employee record. The intent is not to investigate the actions or the history of the employee, but it is in fact to investigate the conduct of Senator Julie Frye-Mueller. And unless you have some indication that there will be some relevant information for the committee inside that file, you can make that proffer to the committee, but simply asking for a copy of her employee record I believe would be simply a fishing expedition.

MR. HAUGAARD: Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN WHEELER: Go ahead.

MR. HAUGAARD: Given that level of investigation, what would you expect in a criminal trial to be the result when the

judge is denying counsel access to any information? You know, this is a process that you are trying to work through, and I've been in these situations before where there is an effort to shield witnesses from any and all cross-examination and to shelter the individual that's making allegations, only to find out later that maybe we should have had some more information. And in this case, it's not even that gracious.

1.3

We have been given 24 hours to put up some sort of a defense, and now you are denying us access to relevant information in regard to credibility, and that's all we have, is the opportunity to address credibility. I just don't understand how you can sit here as judge and jury without giving opportunity for this kind of access and to expedite like you have done.

CHAIRMAN WHEELER: Your comment is noted. Are there any further questions for the witness? I will open it up to the committee, if the counsel questions happen to prompt any other questioning. Senator Tobin.

SENATOR TOBIN: Had you been offered the ability to meet with the Senator, do you think there would have been a possible ability to clear this all up in that discussion?

MR. HAUGAARD: Mr. Chair. If we are treating this like a criminal case, I'd say objection, calls for speculation. But I don't know what our process is here.

CHAIRMAN WHEELER: This is, just to clear it up

1 procedurally, this is not a criminal or civil proceeding. 2 is an investigation by a committee. We are running it like a 3 committee, a legislative committee. I will allow objections in the form of raised issues regarding questions. The question 4 5 does call for speculation as to what would have happened, and 6 so I am hesitant to pose that to the witness because I don't 7 know that it's necessarily relevant or helpful to the 8 investigation. Any other questions? I would offer if either 9 Mr. Hieb or (employee) want to make any final statements to the

MR. HIEB: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I would like to, since there have been enough questions asked about my client's, whether she's being candid or telling the truth, I would like to ask a few questions, with the Chair's permission. Is that okay?

CHAIRMAN WHEELER: Just to clarify, it's your intent to ask your client questions?

MR. HIEB: Yes, to clarify things.

committee.

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN WHEELER: We will run those through the Chair.

MR. HIEB: Yes. Mr. Chair, I have looked at some of the social media that I told my client to quit looking at, and one of the suggestions was that the reason Senator Frye-Mueller came to her that day was to talk about a bill involving vaccination and that the conversation or the lecture that she

ended up getting about vaccination was a likely segue from that. What I would like to ask the witness is what the bill was about that she was talking to her about that day.

CHAIRMAN WHEELER: Go ahead.

EMPLOYEE: Mr. Chair. The bill was on license plates.

MR. HIEB: Mr. Chair, another question. At any point in this encounter with Senator Frye-Mueller, was there anything about vaccination or breast feeding bills that were being discussed?

EMPLOYEE: No.

1.3

MR. HIEB: Mr. Chair. And when you said before that the reason this bothered you particularly because it dealt with your son, could you elaborate for the committee on why that is?

EMPLOYEE: Mr. Chair. My husband and I (health information), it was a miracle, to say the least. And then during my pregnancy, (health information), and thankfully I was able to give birth to a beautiful, healthy son, and that's especially why it was so painful to hear that he -- due to my parental decisions, he could die.

MR. HIEB: Mr. Chair, just briefly. There's been some question about your involvement with this matter getting to this level, and I just want to make it clear for the record, have you talked to anyone in Senate leadership about this matter?

EMPLOYEE: No.

1	MR. HIEB: And you talked to your mother-in-law, as I
2	understand it, about reporting this, and then you went to
3	the which supervisor did you go to?
4	EMPLOYEE: Mr. Chair. Sue Cichos. She's the deputy
5	director for the LRC.
6	MR. HIEB: Mr. Chair. Why did you go to Sue?
7	EMPLOYEE: Mr. Chair. Because of the nature of what
8	had happened, I wanted to talk to a woman.
9	MR. HIEB: Mr. Chair. Was it Ms. Cichos's idea to
10	take it further than that?
11	EMPLOYEE: Mr. Chair. Yes.
12	MR. HIEB: Mr. Chair. Did you have any input or
13	suggestion about taking it past the point where you gave this
14	statement to Ms. Cichos?
15	EMPLOYEE: Mr. Chair.
16	CHAIRMAN WHEELER: Go ahead.
17	EMPLOYEE: No.
18	MR. HIEB: Finally, Mr. Chair. Have you, to anyone in
19	this body or anybody in your office, been suggesting or rooting
20	for any sort of an outcome in this Senate investigation?
21	CHAIRMAN WHEELER: I am going to give you the same
22	opportunity I did before. You can choose to answer that
23	question if you want to.
24	EMPLOYEE: Can you say that question again?
25	MR. HIEB: Have you suggested to anyone in this body

1 or anyone in the office that you work in that you are rooting 2 for some sort of an outcome here? 3 EMPLOYEE: Mr. Chair. No. MR. HIEB: I have nothing further, Mr. Chair. 4 5 CHAIRMAN WHEELER: Thank you. Does that prompt any 6 other questions from the committee or counsel? 7 MR. HIEB: Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN WHEELER: Go ahead. 8 9 MR. HIEB: There's also been some, because of all the 10 requests for employment files, I would like to clear that up to 11 insure that my client isn't hiding anything. Mr. Chair, with 12 your permission. 1.3 CHAIRMAN WHEELER: Go ahead. 14 MR. HIEB: Have you ever made a claim that somebody 15 has harassed you in the work place, whether it be sexually, 16 vaccination wise, breast feeding wise, et cetera? 17 EMPLOYEE: Mr. Chair. 18 CHAIRMAN WHEELER: Go ahead. 19 EMPLOYEE: No. 20 MR. HIEB: That's all I have. 21 CHAIRMAN WHEELER: Again, any further questions from 22 committee or counsel? Seeing none, I want to thank (employee) 23 for coming and providing her testimony today. The committee 24 greatly appreciates you going through this process with us.

And with that, is there any other discussion for the committee?

25

Otherwise we will recess and say we will take a 10-, 15-minute recess. 10 minutes. So we will -- let's reconvene in 10 minutes up in room 414. (Whereupon, the proceedings were concluded at 6:07 p.m.)

1 <u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u> 2 3 STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA) ss. 4 COUNTY OF HUGHES 5 I, Carla A. Bachand, RMR, CRR, Freelance Court 6 Reporter for the State of South Dakota, residing in Pierre, 7 South Dakota, do hereby certify: That I was duly authorized to and did report the 8 9 testimony and evidence in the above-entitled cause; 10 I further certify that the foregoing pages of this 11 transcript represents a true and accurate transcription of my 12 stenotype notes. 13 Dated this 1st day of February 2023. 14 15 16 17 /s/ Carla A. Bachand 18 Carla A. Bachand, RMR, CRR Freelance Court Reporter 19 20 2.1 2.2 23 24 25