

REDISTRICTING DATA



TOTAL POPULATION FACTS

• Total Population: 886,667

• 35 Senate Districts

• Target Population: 25,333

• 5% Deviation Range: 24,066 – 26,600

• 10% Deviation Range: 22,800 – 27,866



HTTPS://WWW.CENSUS.GOV/LIBRARY/STORIES/STATE-BY-STATE/SOUTH-DAKOTA-POPULATION-CHANGE-BETWEEN-CENSUS-DECADE.HTML



			Pop.					Pop.	
County	2020	2010	Change	% Change	County	2020	2010	Change	% Change
Aurora	2,747	2,710	37	1.37%	Hyde	1,262	1,420	-158	-11.13%
Beadle	19,149	17,398	1,751	10.06%	Jackson	2,806	3,031	-225	-7.42%
Bennett	3,381	3,431	-50	-1.46%	Jerauld	1,663	2,071	-408	-19.70%
Bon Homme	7,003	7,070	-67	-0.95%	Jones	917	1,006	-89	-8.85%
Brookings	34,375	31,965	2,410	7.54%	Kingsbury	5,187	5,148	39	0.76%
Brown	38,301	36,531	1,770	4.85%	Lake	11,059	11,200	-141	-1.26%
Brule	5,247	5,255	-8	-0.15%	Lawrence	25,768	24,097	1,671	6.93%
Buffalo	1,948	1,912	36	1.88%	Lincoln	65,161	44,828	20,333	45.36%
Butte	10,243	10,110	133	1.32%	Lyman	3,718	3,755	-37	-0.99%
Campbell	1,377	1,466	-89	-6.07%	McCook	5,682	5,618	64	1.14%
Charles Mix	9,373	9,129	244	2.67%	McPherson	2,411	2,459	-48	-1.95%
Clark	3,837	3,691	146	3.96%	Marshall	4,306	4,656	-350	-7.52%
Clay	14,967	13,864	1,103	7.96%	Meade	29,852	25,434	4,418	17.37%
Codington	28,325	27,227	1,098	4.03%	Mellette	1,918	2,048	-130	-6.35%
Corson	3,902	4,050	-148	-3.65%	Miner	2,298	2,389	-91	-3.81%
Custer	8,318	8,216	102	1.24%	Minnehaha	197,214	169,468	27,746	16.37%
Davison	19,956	19,504	452	2.32%	Moody	6,336	6,486	-150	-2.31%
Day	5,449	5,710	-261	-4.57%	Oglala Lakota	13,672	13,586	86	0.63%
Deuel	4,295	4,364	-69	-1.58%	Pennington	109,222	100,948	8,274	8.20%
Dewey	5,239	5,301	-62	-1.17%	Perkins	2,835	2,982	-147	-4.93%
Douglas	2,835	3,002	-167	-5.56%	Potter	2,472	2,329	143	6.14%
Edmunds	3,986	4,071	-85	-2.09%	Roberts	10,280	10,149	131	1.29%
Fall River	6,973	7,094	-121	-1.71%	Sanborn	2,330	2,355	-25	-1.06%
Faulk	2,125	2,364	-239	-10.11%	Spink	6,361	6,415	-54	-0.84%
Grant	7,556	7,356	200	2.72%	Stanley	2,980	2,966	14	0.47%
Gregory	3,994	4,271	-277	-6.49%	Sully	1,446	1,373	73	5.32%
Haakon	1,872	1,937	-65	-3.36%	Todd	9,319	9,612	-293	-3.05%
Hamlin	6,164	5,903	261	4.42%	Tripp	5,624	5,644	-20	-0.35%
Hand	3,145	3,431	-286	-8.34%	Turner	8,673	8,347	326	3.91%
Hanson	3,461	3,331	130	3.90%	Union	16,811	14,399	2,412	16.75%
Harding	1,311	1,255	56	4.46%	Walworth	5,315	5,438	-123	-2.26%
Hughes	17,765	17,022	743	4.36%	Yankton	23,310	22,438	872	3.89%
Hutchinson	7,427	7,343	84	1.14%	Ziebach	2,413	2,801	-388	-13.85%


County	2020	County	2020	County	2020	County	2020
Aurora	2,747	Davison	19,956	Hutchinson	7,427	Minnehaha	197,214
Beadle	19,149	Day	5,449	Hyde	1,262	Moody	6,336
Bennett	3,381	Deuel	4,295	Jackson	2,806	Oglala Lakota	13,672
Bon Homme	7,003	Dewey	5,239	Jerauld	1,663	Pennington	109,222
Brookings	34,375	Douglas	2,835	Jones	917	Perkins	2,835
Brown	38,301	Edmunds	3,986	Kingsbury	5,187	Potter	2,472
Brule	5,247	Fall River	6,973	Lake	11,059	Roberts	10,280
Buffalo	1,948	Faulk	2,125	Lawrence	25,768	Sanborn	2,330
Butte	10,243	Grant	7,556	Lincoln	65,161	Spink	6,361
Campbell	1,377	Gregory	3,994	Lyman	3,718	Stanley	2,980
Charles Mix	9,373	Haakon	1,872	McCook	5,682	Sully	1,446
Clark	3,837	Hamlin	6,164	McPherson	2,411	Todd	9,319
Clay	14,967	Hand	3,145	Marshall	4,306	Tripp	5,624
Codington	28,325	Hanson	3,461	Meade	29,852	Turner	8,673
Corson	3,902	Harding	1,311	Mellette	1,918	Union	16,811
Custer	8,318	Hughes	17,765	Miner	2,298	Walworth	5,315

COUNTY GROWTH AND DECLINE



LARGEST GROWTH

County	2020	2010	Pop. Change	% Change
Lincoln	65,161	44,828	20,333	45.36%
Meade	29,852	25,434	4,418	17.37%
Union	16,811	14,399	2,412	16.75%
Minnehaha	197,214	169,468	27,746	16.37%
Beadle	19,149	17,398	1,751	10.06%
Pennington	109,222	100,948	8,274	8.20%
Clay	14,967	13,864	1,103	7.96%
Brookings	34,375	31,965	2,410	7.54%
Lawrence	25,768	24,097	1,671	6.93%
Potter	2,472	2,329	143	6.14%





LARGEST DECLINE

County	2020	2010	Pop. Change	% Change
Jerauld	1,663	2,071	-408	-19.70%
Ziebach	2,413	2,801	-388	-13.85%
Hyde	1,262	1,420	-158	-11.13%
Faulk	2,125	2,364	-239	-10.11%
Jones	917	1,006	-89	-8.85%
Hand	3,145	3,431	-286	-8.34%
Marshall	4,306	4,656	-350	-7.52%
Jackson	2,806	3,031	-225	-7.42%
Gregory	3,994	4,271	-277	-6.49%
Mellette	1,918	2,048	-130	-6.35%



South Dakota Legislative Districts

South Dakota Legislative Districts							
District	Total Pop. in 2020	+/- Target		District	Total Pop. in 2020	+/- Target	
1	23,193	2,140					
2	24,405	928		19	23084	2,249	
3	26,506	-1,173		20	24366	967	
4	24,391	942		21	22315	3,018	
5	24,953	380		22	24336	997	
6	34,840	-9,507		23	21425	3,908	
7	25,207	126		24	23453	1,880	
8	22,023	3,310		25	28046	-2,713	
9	29,462	-4,129		26	23067	2,266	
10	28,364	-3,031		27	22297	3,036	
11	30,219	-4,886		28	23891	1,442	
12	27,580	-2,247		29	27790	-2,457	
13	25,212	121		30	24497	836	
14	27,628	-2,295		31	25768	-435	
15	22,868	2,465		32	25661	-328	
16	24,967	366		33	24761	572	
17	23,640	1,693		34	25197	136	
18	23,310	2,023		35	27945	-2,612	

South Dakota Legislative Districts

District	Total Pop. in 2020	+/- Target 25,333	Deviation	District	Total Pop. in 2020	+/- Target 25,333	Deviation
23	21,425	-3,908	-15.43%	6	34,840	9,507	37.53%
8	22,023	-3,310	-13.07%	11	30,219	4,886	19.29%
27	22,297	-3,036	-11.98%	9	29,462	4,129	16.30%
21	22,315	-3,018	-11.91%	10	28,364	3,031	11.96%
15	22,868	-2,465	-9.73%	25	28,046	2,713	10.71%
26	23,067	-2,266	-8.94%	35	27,945	2,612	10.31%
19	23,084	-2,249	-8.88%	29	27,790	2,457	9.70%
1	23,193	-2,140	-8.45%	14	27,628	2,295	9.06%
18	23,310	-2,023	-7.99%	12	27,580	2,247	8.87%
24	23,453	-1,880	-7.42%	3	26,506	1,173	4.63%
17	23,640	-1,693	-6.68%	31	25,768	435	1.72%
28	23,891	-1,442	-5.69%	32	25,661	328	1.29%
22	24,336	-997	-3.94%				
20	24,366	-967	-3.82%				
4	24,391	-942	-3.72%				
2	24,405	-928	-3.66%				
30	24,497	-836	-3.30%				
33	24,761	-572	-2.26%				
5	24,953	-380	-1.50%				
16	24,967	-366	-1.44%				
34	25,197	-136	-0.54%				
7	25,207	-126	-0.50%				

25,212

-121

-0.48%

13

LEGISLATIVE DISTRICTS

West SD							
District	Total Pop. in 2020	+/- Target 25,333	Deviation				
27	22,297	-3,036	-11.98%				
28	23,891	-1,442	-5.69%				
29	27,790	2,457	9.70%				
30	24,497	-836	-3.30%				
31	25,768	435	1.72%				
32	25,661	328	1.29%				
33	24,761	-572	-2.26%				
34	25,197	-136	-0.54%				
35	27,945	2,612	10.31%				

Central SD							
District	Total Pop. in 2020	+/- Target 25,333	Deviation				
20	24,366	-967	-3.82%				
21	22,315	-3,018	-11.91%				
23	21,425	-3,908	-15.43%				
24	23,453	-1,880	-7.42%				
26	23,067	-2,266	-8.94%				

	Northeast SD							
Dis	trict	Total Pop. in 2020	+/- Target 25,333	Deviation				
	1	23,193	-2140	-8.45%				
	2	24,405	-928	-3.66%				
	3	26,506	1,173	4.63%				
	4	24,391	-942	-3.72%				
	5	24,953	-380	-1.50%				
	7	25,207	-126	-0.50%				
	8	22,023	-3,310	-13.07%				
2	22	24,336	-997	-3.94%				

Southeast SD							
District	Total Pop. in 2020	+/- Target 25,333	Deviation				
6	34,840	9,507	37.53%				
9	29,462	4,129	16.30%				
10	28,364	3,031	11.96%				
11	30,219	4,886	19.29%				
12	27,580	2,247	8.87%				
13	25,212	-121	-0.48%				
14	27,628	2,295	9.06%				
15	22,868	-2,465	-9.73%				
16	24,967	-366	-1.44%				
17	23,640	-1,693	-6.68%				
18	23,310	-2,023	-7.99%				
19	23,084	-2,249	-8.88%				
25	28,046	2,713	10.71%				



VOTING RIGHTS ACT SECTION 2


(a) No voting qualification or prerequisite to voting or standard, practice, or procedure shall be imposed or applied by any State or political subdivision in a manner which results in a denial or abridgement of the right of any citizen of the United States to vote on account of race or color, or in contravention of the guarantees set forth in section 10303(f)(2) of this title, as provided in subsection (b).





VOTING RIGHTS ACT SECTION 2

(b) A violation of subsection (a) is established if, based on <u>the totality of circumstances</u>, it is shown that the political processes leading to nomination or election in the State or political subdivision are not equally open to participation by members of a class of citizens protected by subsection (a) in that its members have less opportunity than other members of the electorate to participate in the political process and to elect representatives of their choice. The extent to which members of a protected class have been elected to office in the State or political subdivision is one circumstance which may be considered: Provided, That nothing in this section establishes a right to have members of a protected class elected in numbers equal to their proportion in the population.



THE GINGLES FACTORS


- 1. The racial or language minority group "sufficiently large and geographically compact to constitute a majority in a single-member district";
- 2. The minority group is "politically cohesive" (meaning its members tend to vote similarly); and
- 3. The "majority" votes sufficiently as a bloc to enable it ... usually to defeat the minority's preferred candidate.



IMPORTANT NOTES

- All States and Districts are subject to the Voting Rights Act
- We are currently not subject to preclearance under Section 5.
- Retrogression still can apply under Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act
- If you can draw a single-member district in such a way that the minority can be the majority, you might be required to do so by Section 2.
- You need evidence to support your districts, even if keeping them the same.






Rapid City Conurbation District Proposal – Washington #1


Population: 102,345

Districts: 4

Deviation: 1,023



Rapid City Conurbation District Proposal – Washington #2




Rapid City Conurbation District Proposal – Washington #3


Population: 77,137

Districts: 3

Deviation: 1,138



Rapid City Conurbation District Proposal - Jefferson




Rapid City Conurbation District Proposal - Lincoln


Population: 101,177

Districts: 4

Deviation: -145




Rapid City Conurbation District Proposal - Roosevelt



Population: 254,219

Districts: 10

Deviation: 889




Sioux Falls Conurbation District Proposal – Split Rock Creek

Population: 254,955

Districts: 10

Deviation: 1,625




Sioux Falls Conurbation District Proposal – Covell Lake

Population: 253,109

Districts: 10

Deviation: -221




Sioux Falls Conurbation District Proposal – Lake Lorraine

Population: 251,274

Districts: 10

Deviation: -1,756




Sioux Falls Conurbation District Proposal – Wall Lake

Population: 254,062

Districts: 10

Deviation: 732




Sioux Falls Conurbation District Proposal – Big Sioux River

Population: 201,066

Districts: 8

Deviation: 1,598



Sioux Falls Conurbation District Proposal – Lake Alvin



THANKYOU!



