Committee: Senate Health and Human Services

Wednesday, March 03, 2021 10:00 AM

Roll Call

Present: Sen. Curd, Sen. Duvall, Sen. Foster, Sen. Rusch, Sen. V. J. Smith, Sen. Tobin, and Sen. Steinhauer

OTHERS PRESENT: See Original Minutes

The meeting was called to order by Senator Steinhauer

MOTION: TO APPROVE THE MINUTES OF

Moved by:DuvallSecond by:V. J. SmithAction:Prevailed by voice vote

MOTION: TO INVOKE RULE 7-1.5. CONSIDERATION OF MATTERS NOT POSTED.

Moved by:	Rusch
Second by:	Tobin
Action:	Prevailed by roll call vote (6-0-1-0)

Voting Yes: Duvall, Foster, Rusch, V. J. Smith, Tobin, and Steinhauer

Excused: Curd

HB 1100 : modify the medical marijuana program and to create an interim committee to recommend implementation of the medical marijuana program.

Presented by: Katie Hruska, Deputy General Counsel, Governor's Office Proponents: Mike Houdyshell, Department of Revenue		
rioponento.	Brett Koenecke, South Dakota Bankers Association, Pierre	
	Kim Malsam-Rysdon, Department of Health	
	Tim Rave, South Dakota Association of Healthcare Organizations	
	Yvonne Taylor, South Dakota Municipal League	
	Dean Krogman, State Medical Association SD, Sioux Falls	
	Deb Fischer-Clemens, Avera Health, Sioux Falls	
	Craig Price, Department of Public Safety	
	Tiffany Sanderson, Department of Education	
Opponents:	Seth Pearman, Flandreau Sioux Tribe, Flandreau (Handout(s) 1,2)	
	Emmett Reistroffer, Johnson Properties L.L.C., Sioux Falls	
	Kittrick Jeffries, Dakota Cannabis Consulting, Rapid City	
	Tim Hughes, self, Summerset	
	Albert Kenneth Chiancone, Jr. MA, self	
	Melissa Mentele, New Approach SD, self, Emery	
Others:	Dick Tieszen, Sheriff's Association	

MOTION: AMEND HB 1100

1100L

On page 3, line 24, of the House bill, delete " 34-20G-95" and insert " 34-20G-17"

On page 3, line 24, of the House bill, after "inclusive," insert " §§ 34-20G-19 to 34-20G-50, inclusive, and §§ 34-20G-52 to 34-20G-95, inclusive,"

On page 5, after line 5, of the House bill, insert "

Section 5. That § 34-20G-51 be AMENDED.

- 34-20G-51. [Effective July 1, 2021] Medical purpose defense to prosecution involving cannabis.
- Except as provided in § 34-20G-18 and this section, a person may assert the medical purpose for using cannabis as a defense to any prosecution involving cannabis, and such defense is presumed valid where the evidence shows that:
- (1) A practitioner has stated that, in the practitioner's professional opinion, after having completed a full assessment of the person's medical history and current medical condition made in the course of a bona fide practitionerpatient relationship, the patient has a debilitating medical condition and the potential benefits of using cannabis for medical purposes would likely outweigh the health risks for the person;
- (2) The person was in possession of no more than three ounces of cannabis, the amount of cannabis products allowed by department rules, six cannabis plants minimum or as prescribed by a physician, and the cannabis produced by those plants;

(a) Three ounces of cannabis;

- (b) A quantity of cannabis products containing no more than twenty-four grams of cannabis concentrate or a greater amount if allowed by department rules;
- (c) Six cannabis plants kept in or on the grounds of a single residence at one time and any cannabis produced by those six plants provided that the cannabis is located at the same property where the plants were cultivated; or

(d) Any combination of subsections (a), (b), or (c);

- (3) The person was engaged in the acquisition, possession, use, manufacture, cultivation, or transportation of cannabis, paraphernalia, or both, relating to the administration of cannabis to treat or alleviate the person's debilitating medical condition or symptoms associated with the person's debilitating medical condition; and
- (4) Any cultivation of cannabis and storage of more than three ounces of cannabis occurred in a secure location that only the person asserting the defense could access.

Moved by: Foster

Second by: Curd

- Action: Prevailed by roll call vote (4-3-0-0)
- Voting Yes: Curd, Foster, Rusch, and Tobin
- Voting No: Duvall, V. J. Smith, and Steinhauer

MOTION: DO PASS HB 1100 AS AMENDED

Moved by: Rusch

- Second by: Tobin
- Action: Prevailed by roll call vote (6-1-0-0)
- Voting Yes: Duvall, Foster, Rusch, V. J. Smith, Tobin, and Steinhauer

Voting No: Curd

HB 1139 : require that assisted living facilities create and post visitation policies.

Presented by: Senator Erin Tobin

Proponents: Representative Phil Jensen (Handout(s) 3) Janet Jensen, self, Rapid City, South Dakota Treese Monford DeBoer, self, Hot Springs, South Dakota Kathy Rhoden, self, Newell, South Dakota

MOTION: AMEND HB 1139

1139I

On page 2, line 25, of the House bill, after "exists, " insert "a link to " Moved by: Duvall Second by: V. J. Smith Action: Prevailed by voice vote

MOTION: DO PASS HB 1139 AS AMENDED

Moved by:	Curd
Second by:	Duvall
Action:	Prevailed by roll call vote (7-0-0-0)

Voting Yes: Curd, Duvall, Foster, Rusch, V. J. Smith, Tobin, and Steinhauer

HAVING PASSED UNANIMOUSLY, THE CHAIR CERTIFIED HB 1139 AS UNCONTESTED AND AS SUCH BE PLACED ON THE CONSENT CALENDAR.

SCR 608 : To raise public awareness and support the National Association for Public Health Statistics and Information Systems.

Presented by: Senator Julie Frye-Mueller

MOTION: DO PASS SCR 608

Moved by: Tobin

- Second by: Curd
- Action: Failed by roll call vote (3-4-0-0)
- Voting Yes: Curd, Foster, and Tobin

Voting No: Duvall, Rusch, V. J. Smith, and Steinhauer

MOTION: DEFER SCR 608 TO THE 41ST LEGISLATIVE DAY

Moved by:DuvallSecond by:V. J. SmithAction:Prevailed by roll call vote (4-3-0-0)

Voting Yes: Duvall, Rusch, V. J. Smith, and Steinhauer

Voting No: Curd, Foster, and Tobin

HB 1154 : prohibit employment contracts restricting competitive health care practices.

Presented by: Representative Fred Deutsch

Proponents:	Dean Krogman, State Medical Association SD, Sioux Falls
	Dr. Connie Taylor, Self
	Representative Taylor Rehfeldt
	Lisa Nolen, Americans for Prosperity, Arlington
	Sam Nelson, South Dakota Association of Nurse Anesthetists
	Senator Blake Curd
Opponents:	Erika Peterson, Self, Pukwana
	Dr. Dave Kapaska, Self
	Ashley Kingdon-Reese, Self, Huron
	Tim Dougherty, Avera Health, Sioux Falls
	Matt McCauley, Sanford Health
	Mitchell Rave, Sanford Health, Sioux Falls
Others:	Tim Rave, South Dakota Association of Healthcare Organizations

MOTION: DO PASS HB 1154

Moved by:	Curd
Second by:	Tobin
Action:	Prevailed by roll call vote (5-2-0-0)

- Voting Yes: Curd, Foster, Rusch, Tobin, and Steinhauer
- Voting No: Duvall and V. J. Smith

HB 1131 : authorize primary care agreements.

Presented by: Senator Erin Tobin

Proponents: Representative Tamara St. John Dr. Dan Heinemann, SD Academy of Family Physicians Don Haggar, Americans for Prosperity Dean Krogman, State Medical Association SD, Sioux Falls

MOTION: DO PASS HB 1131

Moved by:	Tobin
Second by:	V. J. Smith
Action:	Prevailed by roll call vote (7-0-0-0)

Voting Yes: Curd, Duvall, Foster, Rusch, V. J. Smith, Tobin, and Steinhauer

HCR 6015 : To encourage the Executive Board of the Legislature to create an interim legislative study on mental health education in this state.

Presented by: Representative Tamara St. John Proponents: Wade Pogany, Associated School Boards of South Dakota

MOTION: THAT HCR 6015 BE CONCURRED IN

Moved by:	Rusch
Second by:	Tobin
Action:	Prevailed by roll call vote (7-0-0-0)

Voting Yes: Curd, Duvall, Foster, Rusch, V. J. Smith, Tobin, and Steinhauer

MOTION: ADJOURN

Moved by:RuschSecond by:DuvallAction:Prevailed by voice vote

Sharon Welch, Committee Secretary

/s/ WAYNE H. STEINHAUER Wayne H. Steinhauer, Chair